

References for Supplemental Materials

- Beersma, B., & De Dreu, C. K. W. (1999). Negotiation processes and outcomes in pro-socially and egoistically motivated groups. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(3), 385-402. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022831>
- Beersma, B., & De Dreu, C. K. W. (2002). Integrative and distributive negotiation in small groups: Effects of task structure, decision rule and social motive. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(2), 227-252. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.2001.2964>
- Beersma, B., & De Dreu, C. K. W. (2005). Conflict's consequences: Effects of social motives on post-negotiation creative and convergent group functioning and performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(3), 358-374. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.89.3.358>
- De Wit, F. R., Greer, L. L., & Jehn, K. A. (2012). The paradox of intragroup conflict: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(2), 360-390.
- Greer, L. L., de Jong, B. A., Schouten, M. E., & Dannals, J. E. (2018). Why and when hierarchy impacts team effectiveness: A meta-analytic integration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 115(6), 591-613. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/apl0000291>
- Higgins, J., & Thompson, S. G. (2002). Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*, 21(11), 1539-1558. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1186>
- Duval, S & Tweedie, R. (2000). A nonparametric "Trim and Fill" method of accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 95(456), 89-98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2000.10473905>
- Hüffmeier, J., Freund, P. A., Zerres, A., Backhaus, K., & Hertel, G. (2014). Being tough or being nice? A meta-analysis on the impact of hard-and softline strategies in distributive negotiations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106(3), 866-892. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311423788>
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2004). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting for error and bias in research findings*. (2nd ed) Thousand Oaks CA: Sage.
- Kepes, S., Bushman, B. J., & Anderson, C. A. (2017). Violent video game effects remain a societal concern: Reply to Hilgard, Engelhardt, and Rouder 2017. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 112(6), 775-782. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000112>
- Kepes, S., Banks, G. C., McDaniel, M. & Whetzel, D. L. (2012). Publication bias in the organizational sciences. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(4), 624-662. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428112452760>
- Kong, D. T., Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2014). Interpersonal trust within negotiations: Meta-analytic evidence, critical contingencies, and directions for future research. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106(5), 1235-1255. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2012.0461>
- McShane, B. B. and Böckenholt, U. (2016). Planning sample sizes when effect sizes are uncertain: The power-calibrated effect size approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 111(1), 47-60. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000036>
- Rosenberg, M. S. (2005). The file drawer problem revisited: A general weighted method for calculating fail safe numbers in meta analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(3), 464-468 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb01004.x>
- Vevea, J. L., & Hedges, L. V. (1995). A general linear model for estimating effect size in the presence of publication bias. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69(2), 419-435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02294384>
- Viechtbauer, W., & Cheung, M. W. L. (2010). Outlier and influence diagnostics for meta analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(2), 112-125. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.11>
- Weingart, L. R, Thompson, L., Bazerman, M. H., & Carroll, J. S. (1990). Tactical behavior and negotiation outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58(1), 7-31. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb022670>